

50i219r1 – Straw Ballot

Ballot comments and responses

Group	RWF Task Group on Filters	total committee ballots sent:	9
		% committee ballots returned:	67%
		affirmative votes:	5
		negative votes:	1
		abstentions:	0
Public comment end	N/A	% affirmative of total ballots sent:	56%
		% affirmative of total affirmative + negative ballots:	83%

Commenter name	Joe Tessitore	Voter or Nonvoter	Voter	Section, paragraph, figure, table, etc.	
Commenter company	Hayward Pool Products, Inc.	Affirmative, Negative, Abstain	Negative	Type of comment ^a	
Subject, comment	<p>Cartridge Filter Flow Rate. I don't have any issues with the intent of the language in the ballot, I do think that we should clarify the language to state that the filter has to pass headloss, turbidity, and cleanability before and after the 6 soiling cycles. Maybe something like this may be suitable:</p> <p>A depth-type or surface-type filter intended for public applications that demonstrates conformance to the head loss, turbidity reduction and cleanability requirements of the test standard, as well as continued conformance to the head loss, turbidity reduction and cleanability requirements of the test standard after performing six complete soiling and cleaning cycles as defined in Section N-2.4, may claim a maximum design filtration rate up to those rates specified for residential applications.</p>				
Proposed change	<p>A depth-type or surface-type filter intended for public applications that demonstrates conformance to the head loss, turbidity reduction and cleanability requirements of the test standard, as well as continued conformance to the head loss, turbidity reduction and cleanability requirements of the test standard after performing six complete soiling and cleaning cycles as defined in Section N-2.4, may claim a maximum design filtration rate up to those rates specified for residential applications.</p>				
Response to comment	It was agreed to that if we made the change everyone was in support to approve the comment and vote yes on the proposal.				

Commenter name	Sung Choe	Voter or Nonvoter	Voter	Section, paragraph, figure, table, etc.	
Commenter company	IAPMO	Affirmative, Negative, Abstain	Affirmative	Type of comment ^a	ge
Subject, comment	<p>Structural testing. I'm not able to add a comment with a yes vote. I'm good with the proposal. Would a structural test also resolve any issues we may have vs conducting 6 rounds of testing?</p>				
Proposed change	To accept the added language in the comment.				
Response to comment	It was agreed to that if we made the change everyone was in support to approve the comment and vote yes on the proposal.				

^a Type of comment: **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

50i219r1 – Straw Ballot

Ballot comments and responses

Group	RWF Task Group on Filters	total committee ballots sent:	9
		% committee ballots returned:	67%
		affirmative votes:	5
		negative votes:	1
		abstentions:	0
Public comment end	N/A	% affirmative of total ballots sent:	56%
		% affirmative of total affirmative + negative ballots:	83%

^A Type of comment: **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial